

1 Daniel J. Herling (SBN 103711)
2 Lina M. Brenner (SBN 191075)
DUANE MORRIS LLP
3 One Market, Spear Tower, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 371-2200
4 Facsimile: (415) 371-2201

5 Richard T. Redano (*pro hac vice*)
6 Gary R. Maze (*pro hac vice*)
One Greenway Plaza, Suite 500
Houston, TX 77046
7 Telephone: (713) 964-7700
Facsimile: (713) 964-7701

8 Attorneys for Defendant
9 THOMSON, INC.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

11 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SACRAMENTO DIVISION

12 TECHNOLOGY LICENSING)
13 CORPORATION, a California)
Corporation) Case No. CIV S-03-1329 WBS PAN
14 Plaintiff,)
15 vs.) [PROPOSED] ORDER RE DEFENDANT
16 THOMSON, INC., a Delaware)
Corporation) THOMSON, INC.'S EMERGENCY MOTION
17 Defendant.) SEEKING RELIEF FROM LOCAL RULES
18) GOVERNING SERVICE OF MOTIONS
19 _____/ / / /
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 **JUDGE:** The Hon. William B. Shubb


1 Having reviewed Defendant Thomson, Inc.'s ("Thomson") Emergency Motion Seeking Relief From
2 Local Rules Governing Service of Motions, and having entertained any and all opposition to same, the Court
3 finds as follows:

4 Given that plaintiff Technology Licensing Corporation's counsel received electronic notice and
5 service of Thomson's Motion Seeking An Extension of Time To File Rebuttal Expert Reports (the "Motion")
6 on April 4, 2005, the day on which such Motion was filed, and twenty-eight (28) days prior to the scheduled
7 hearing date of May 2, 2005, the Court will permit the Motion to be heard on the latter date.

8 Because a copy of the motion pleadings was sent to plaintiff's counsel by mail rather than by
9 personal service in accordance with the requirements of Local Rule 78-230(b) on April 4, 2005, plaintiff's
10 counsel is given an additional three court days to file its opposition to the motion. Given such additional
11 time, the Court finds that plaintiff's counsel has not been prejudiced, and that it would serve judicial and
12 party economy were the Court to permit the Motion to be heard on May 2, 2005, given that the parties will
13 already be appearing before the Court concerning another motion filed by the plaintiff.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED

15 Dated: April 15, 2005

16 
17 WILLIAM B. SHUBB
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27